

Prepared by: David H. Spach, MD Brian R. Wood, MD

Last Updated: December 30, 2020



Rukobia [rue-KOH-bee-ah]



Source: Photograph courtesy of ViiV Healthcare



Rukobia [rue-KOH-bee-ah]



Source: Photograph courtesy of ViiV Healthcare



Indication:

- Heavily treatment-experienced adults with multidrug resistant
- HIV-1 failing their current antiretroviral regimen

• Dosing:

- 600 mg orally twice daily, with or without food

Contraindications

- Hypersensitivity to fostemsavir
- Coadministration with strong cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A inducers

Use During Pregnancy

- Insufficient data

Common Adverse Events (≥5%)

- Nausea (10%)





HIV Cell Entry



HIV Cell Entry HIV gp120 Attachment to Host Cell CD4 Receptor

HIV



HIV Entry Inhibitors: Attachment Inhibitors Fostemsavir—prodrug converted to Temsavir

HIV





Fostemsavir in Treatment-Experienced Patients BRIGHTE Study (Week 48 Data)



Fostemsavir (FTR) for Heavily Treatment Experienced BRIGHTE Study (Week 48): Background

Study Design: BRIGHTE

Background:

- Phase 3, randomized, multicenter, placebo-controlled, non-inferiority trial evaluating attachment inhibitor fostemsavir (FTR) in salvage ART

Enrollment Criteria:

- Highly ART-experienced adults
- Failing current ART regimen
- HIV RNA >400 copies/mL
- Multiclass ART resistance
- At least one fully active agent
- Unable to construct viable regimen



*Also a cohort with 0 remaining active agents; all given Fostemsavir 600 mg BID + OBR (n = 99) *OBR = optimized background regimen



Fostemsavir (FTR) for Heavily Treatment Experienced BRIGHTE Study (Week 48): Baseline Characteristics

Baseline Characteristics	Randomized (n = 272)	Non-Randomized (n = 99)
Age, years, median (range)	48 (18-73)	50 (17-72)
Male sex, n (%)	200 (74)	89 (90)
White, n (%)	184 (68)	74 (74)
Black/African American, n (%)	60 (22)	23 (23)
HIV RNA 1,000-100,000 copies/mL, n (%)	161 (59)	75 (76)
HIV RNA >100,000 copies/mL, n (%)	80 (29)	15 (15)
CD4 count—cells/mm ³ , median (range)	99 (0-1160)	41 (0-641)
2 fully active agents in OBR, %	42	0
1 fully active agent in OBR, %	52	19
0 fully active agents in OBR, %	6	81

*Most common ARV's in OBR: dolutegravir, darunavir, tenofovir DF, etravirine, maraviroc, enfuvirtide, ibalizumab



Fostemsavir (FTR) for Heavily Treatment Experienced BRIGHTE Study (Week 48): Results

Baseline to Day 8 Change in HIV RNA Level





Fostemsavir (FTR) for Heavily Treatment Experienced BRIGHTE Study (Week 48): Results

Virologic Response Through Week 48 (HIV RNA <40 copies/mL)







Fostemsavir (FTR) for Heavily Treatment Experienced BRIGHTE Study (Week 48): Results

Adverse Events





Fostemsavir (FTR) for Heavily Treatment Experienced BRIGHTE Study (Week 48): Conclusion

Conclusion: "In patients with multidrug-resistant HIV-1 infection with limited therapy options, those who received fostemsavir had a significantly greater decrease in the HIV-1 RNA level than those who received placebo during the first 8 days. Efficacy was sustained through 48 weeks."



Fostemsavir in Treatment-Experienced Patients BRIGHTE Study (Week 96 Data)



Fostemsavir (FTR) for Heavily Treatment Experienced BRIGHTE Study (Week 96): Results

Virologic Response Through Week 96 (HIV RNA <40 copies/mL)





Fostemsavir (FTR) for Heavily Treatment Experienced BRIGHTE Study (Week 96): Results

Mean Change in CD4 T-Cell Count Through Week 96





Fostemsavir (FTR) for Heavily Treatment Experienced BRIGHTE Study (Week 96): Results

Adverse Events (AEs)	Randomized (n = 272)	Non-Randomized (n = 99)
Any AE, n (%)	249 (92)	98 (99)
Drug-related grade 2-4 AEs, n (%)	57 (21)	22 (22)
Nausea	9 (3)	5 (5)
Diarrhea	6 (2)	3 (3)
Headache	6 (2)	1 (1)
Vomiting	4 (1)	2 (2)
Fatigue	3 (1)	2 (2)
Asthenia	2 (<1)	2 (2)
Drug-related AE leading to discontinuation, n (%)	7 (3)	7 (3)
Drug-related serious AE, n (%)	9 (3)	3 (30)

Source: Lataillade M, et al. Lancet HIV. 2020;7:e740-51.



Fostemsavir (FTR) for Heavily Treatment Experienced BRIGHTE Study (Week 96): Conclusion

Interpretation: "In heavily treatment-experienced individuals with advanced HIV-1 disease and limited treatment options, fostemsavir-based antiretroviral regimens were generally well tolerated and showed a distinctive trend of increasing virological and immunological response rates through 96 weeks; these findings support fostemsavir as a treatment option for this vulnerable population."

Source: Lataillade M, et al. Lancet HIV. 2020;7(11):e740-51.



Fostemsavir (BMS-663068) Dose-Ranging Study AI438-006 Study



Fostemsavir (BMS-663068) Dose-Ranging Study AI438-011: Results

GS-US-141-1219: Study Design

- **Background**: Randomized, open-label, multipledose, parallel phase IIa study
- Inclusion Criteria (n = 50)
 - Adults with subtype B HIV-1
 - Treatment-naïve or experienced,
 - If treatment experienced, off ART ≥8 weeks
 - HIV RNA >5,000 copies/mL
 - CD4 count ≥200 cells/mm³
 - Not pregnant; no hepatitis B or C
 - No prior exposure to an HIV attachment inhibitor

Treatment Arms

- 8 days of fostemsavir (BMS-663068) +/- ritonavir
- Participants randomized to various dosing arms



Source: Nettles RE, et al. Ray N, et al. J Infect Dis. 2012;206:1002-11.



Fostemsavir (BMS-663068) Dose-Ranging Study AI438-011: Results

Baseline to Day 8: Change in Baseline HIV RNA Level



Fostemsavir Dosing

Source: Nettles RE, et al. Ray N, et al. J Infect Dis. 2012;206:1002-11.



Fostemsavir (BMS-663068) Dose-Ranging Study AI438-011: Conclusions

Interpretation: "Administration of BMS-663068 for 8 days with or without ritonavir resulted in substantial declines in plasma HIV-1 RNA levels and was generally well tolerated. Longer-term clinical trials of BMS-663068 as part of combination antiretroviral therapy are warranted."

Source: Nettles RE, et al. Ray N, et al. J Infect Dis. 2012;206:1002-11.





Fostemsavir in Treatment-Experienced Patients AI438-011 Study



Fostemsavir in Treatment-Experienced Patients AI438-011: 24 Week Results

Study Design

- Randomized, international, active controlled, phase 2b study comparing different doses of fostemsavir in treatment experienced with ART failure
- HIV RNA ≥1,000 copies/ml
- CD4 ≥50 cells/mm³
- HIV susceptible to:
 - Raltegravir
 - Tenofovir
 - Temsavir

Fostemsavir 400 mg BID + Raltegravir + Tenofovir DF (n = 50)

Fostemsavir 800 mg BID + Raltegravir + Tenofovir DF (n = 49)

Fostemsavir 600 mg QD + Raltegravir + Tenofovir DF (n = 51)

Fostemsavir 1200 mg QD + Raltegravir + Tenofovir DF (n = 51)

Atazanavir + RTV 300/100 mg qd + Raltegravir + Tenofovir DF

(n = 51)

Source: Lalezari JP, et al. Lancet HIV. 2015;2:e427-37.



Fostemsavir in Treatment-Experienced Patients AI438-011: 24 Week Results

Proportion with HIV RNA <50 copies/mL at 24 weeks (FDA snapshot analysis)



All regimens given in combination with a backbone of raltegravir + tenofovir DF

Source: Lalezari JP, et al. Lancet HIV. 2015;2:e427-37.



Fostemsavir in Treatment-Experienced Patients AI438-011: 24 Week Conclusions

Interpretation: "In a comparison with ritonavir-boosted atazanavir, efficacy and safety of BMS-663068 up to the week 24 analysis support continued development of BMS-663068, which is being assessed in a phase 3 trial in heavily treatment-experienced individuals."

Source: Lalezari JP, et al. Lancet HIV. 2015;2:e427-37.



Fostemsavir in Treatment-Experienced Patients Al438-011 Study: Week 48 Results



Fostemsavir in Treatment-Experienced Patients AI438-011: 48 Week Results

Proportion with HIV RNA <50 copies/mL at 48 weeks (FDA snapshot analysis)



All regimens given in combination with a backbone of raltegravir + tenofovir DF

Source: Thompson M et al. Antivir Ther. 2017;22:215-23.



Fostemsavir in Treatment-Experienced Patients AI438-011: 48 Week Conclusions

Interpretation: "Through week 48, fostemsavir continued to be well tolerated and showed similar efficacy to ATV/r. These results support the ongoing Phase III trial in heavily treatment-experienced adults with limited therapeutic options (≤2 classes of active antiretrovirals remaining)."

Source: Thompson M et al. Antivir Ther. 2017;22:215-23.



Acknowledgment

The National HIV Curriculum is an AIDS Education and Training Center (AETC) Program supported by the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) as part of an award totaling \$800,000 with 0% financed with non-governmental sources. This project is led by the University of Washington's Infectious Diseases Education and Assessment (IDEA) Program.

The content in this presentation are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the official views of, nor an endorsement, by HRSA, HHS, or the U.S. Government.



